

Family Name	Lindley
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287593
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Lindley
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287593
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I believe the site allocation of JPA35 is a bad idea for the following reasons:</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>Mosley Common and its surrounding areas already suffer from extremely poor traffic conditions, road use and bus services in the area are already over-subscribed with the town's current population, travelling during non peak times is also bad. As there are no rail transport options in the Mosley Common area, there is a higher reliance and need for residents to use car transportation in order to get around - this only worsening the traffic conditions on the road.</p> <p>By adding more buses to the (already heavily oversubscribed at peak hours) guided busway service, this will further impact local traffic at peak hours because many traffic light systems prioritise guided busway traffic over the standard road network.</p> <p>Residents struggle to go about their daily business currently as a result of the traffic situation. This will only worsen with the addition of more car journeys to the network.</p> <p>Lack of infrastructure and impact on local services</p> <p>The PfE plan does not provide suitable additions to local infrastructure to support the additional people who will be living in the area. There are no additional shops, dentists, gyms, play areas, post offices. The guided busway services are heavily oversubscribed at peak time and other forms of public transport are severely lacking.</p> <p>Green Belt Loss</p> <p>It is unnecessary to remove this land from the greenbelt. There is sufficient land available in brownfield sites to satisfy demand. Loss of this greenbelt land from around Mosley Common will result in a severe reduction of amenity.</p>

It does not appear that the exceptional circumstances test has been met to remove this land from the Green Belt.

Wildlife Loss

The proposed site allocation is made up of numerous fields and woodland areas - there is an abundance of wildlife that live within this part of the green belt. The plans will eliminate habitats and territories for hundreds of species.

Land not suitable to build on

The land was formerly used for mining and regularly floods. The access roads proposed from Mort Lane and Wellington drive are completely unsuitable as Mort Lane is frequently bumper to bumper with traffic and Wellington drive is a quiet residential road. When the high pressure gas main was laid throughout the site archaeological remains of the roman road were exposed.

Air Pollution

The site will be adjacent to the new greater Manchester clean air zone and will add significant extra emissions in the form of vehicular traffic.

The main road in and out of the area is via Mosley Common road, this road is regularly congested with slow moving traffic and produces a lot of pollution/emissions from cars. This road runs straight in front of a primary school (St Johns Mosley Common Junior & Infant School) - pollution and deadly toxins will be rife in the area during school hours.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.

The sheer amount of green belt that these plans are proposing to remove is astonishing. This green space is used by huge amounts of people each day - they are a vital resource for the community and help with mental and physical health. Our children learn about the world and grow up in these safe and clean spaces. This plan is robbing our children from experiencing one of the final assets we have left in the area.

The consultation phase has been terrible - we have had no communication at all from the council, but their website said they would communicate with all residents.

The communication from the development/places for everyone hasn't been much better - this took the form of a handful of leaflets stuck onto lampposts in the area, but there was such a small amount most residents had no clue about the plans at all. These involved parties have a duty to cooperate the plans with the residents in order for a fair consultation - this has not been the case. Also feedback has been that the website is so difficult to use that its put people off implementing their objections (especially the older community). This means the objections will not be a fair representation of how the local residents truly feel.

Traffic is so bad, it can take me over an hour to make a journey through a few roads which should take me 9 minutes. The traffic is backed up even in non peak times, the pollution is horrendous as a result (which passes straight in front of a school), residents have reporting breathing issues as a result, residents have also reported house damage due to the amount of HGVs that run through busy mosley common roads.

This needs to be addressing before we introduce over a thousand more cars to the area.

The land around the area in known for flooding, there seems to be limited information in the plans about this. There is poor drainage and by removing swaths of green belt we will introduce even more flooding issues. This needs more work before plans are progressed.

as a result of these green belt losses the local towns are closer then ever, with limited boarders between areas and causing sprawl across boundaries.

Family Name

Lindley

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1287593
Title	Salford - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	Salford GBA29 Land West of Burgess Farm
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This proposal is so far away that local residents wont be able to use it - residents will be left with no green space at all. Mental health issues and physical issues will put a strain on our over subscribed health service as a result.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Leave the green belt which is in mosley common.